City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 98.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 7, 2009

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT					
DIRECTOR:	M. MARGO W	HEELER		Consent	⊠ Discussion
29566 - PUBLIC for a Site Develop WITH WAIVERS	HEARING - Alment Plan Revie OF THE BUIL	PPLICANT/C w FOR A 5,1 DING PLAC	EVIEW RELATED ' OWNER: DIBELLA 05 SQUARE-FOOT EMENT AND ORIE UFFER ALONG A	TO GPA-2950 LIVING TRI RETAIL DE ENTATION S	65 AND ZON- UST - Request VELOPMENT STANDARDS,
PERIMETER AND TO ALLOW A FOUR-FOOT LANDSCAPE BUFFER ALONG THE					
WEST PERIMET corner of Charlest 010), R-1 (Single Commercial) Zon	TER WHERE EI ton Boulevard an Family Resident te [PROPOSED HAL. The Plann	IGHT FEET nd Pahor Dri- tial), P-R (Pro : C-1 (Limit ing Commiss	IS REQUIRED on ove (APN 162-04-110 of the property of the prop	0.76 acres at 0-001, 002 and I Parking) and Vard 1 (Tark mends APPRO	the southwest d 162-04-101-d C-1 (Limited canian). Staff
Planning Commission Mtg. 0			Planning Commiss		1
City Council Mee		1.0	City Council Meet		1
RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions. BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: 1. Location and Aerial Maps					
2. Conditions and	_	A			
3. Supporting Do	-	VEV	ADM		
4. Photos					

5. Justification Letter

6. Support Postcard

7. Submitted after Meeting – Recordation Notices of City Council Action and Conditions of Approval

8. Backup Referenced from the 12-04-2008 Planning Commission Meeting Item 17

Motion made by LOIS TARKANIAN to Approve subject to conditions

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 2; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0 RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, STEVE WOLFSON, GARY REESE, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-OSCAR B. GOODMAN, DAVID W. STEINMAN); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 7, 2009

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that MR. DIBELLA is a good, long-time friend of his family; therefore, he would abstain.

COUNCILMAN ROSS disclosed that although MR. DIBELLA was a mentor to him and one of his previous employers, he felt he could remain objective and would vote.

COUNCILMAN STEINMAN stated he would abstain on Items 96-98 because he voted on these matters during his tenure on the Planning Commission.

